

The Third Annual National Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Competition

Competition Rules

1. The Competition. The DePaul University College of Law Moot Court Society and the Lawyers' Committee for Cultural Heritage Preservation are proud to present the Third Annual National Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Competition ("Competition"). The Competition will take place on February 24-25, 2012, in Chicago, Illinois.

2. Use of Competition Materials for Other Purposes Prohibited. The Transcript of Record, Rules, and other Competition materials are prepared and distributed by the DePaul University College of Law Moot Court Society Board ("Board") exclusively for the purposes of this Competition. By entering into the Competition, each team and law school agrees that it will not make use of these materials or other Competition materials except in connection with its participation in this Competition. Any person or organization wishing to make any other use of the Transcript, Rules, or other Competition materials must first obtain written approval from the Board and the Board of Directors of the Lawyers' Committee for Cultural Heritage Preservation ("LCCHP").

3. Interpretation of Competition Rules. The Competition is jointly sponsored by the Board and the LCCHP. The Competition is administered by the Board and is governed by these Rules and such other rules and procedures as may be adopted by the Board. The Board retains the exclusive authority to interpret and execute the Competition Rules.

4. Fees and Deadlines. The registration fee for the Competition is \$400.00 per team. Registration will begin on August 24, 2011, and will end at 5:00 p.m. (CST) on November 11, 2011, or earlier if the twenty team limit is met as described in Rule 5(a).

5. Registration, Eligibility, and Composition of Teams.

(a) Registration of Teams. Each law school may enter up to two teams. A team may register for the Competition by mailing, faxing, or sending a PDF attachment by email of a Registration Form (Appendix A) to the Board by November 11, 2011 at 5:00 p.m. (CST). Registration forms should be sent to:

DePaul University College of Law
ATTN: Moot Court Society Board/CHL Competition
25 E. Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604
Fax number: (312) 362-7698
CHMOOT@gmail.com

Registration will be capped at the first twenty (20) teams to submit the registration form. Any school submitting a Registration Form after the twenty team limit is reached will be notified by the Board that it is being placed on a waiting list. At the discretion of the Board and LCCHP, a

minimum participation level of eight (8) teams may be established as a condition of the Competition's occurrence.

(b) Payment as Confirmation of Eligibility to Compete. A registered team will only be allowed to compete if it submits full payment of the \$400.00 registration fee and a completed team information sheet (Appendix B) by 5:00 p.m. (CST) on November 11, 2011. Payment can be made by faxing or mailing a completed credit card payment slip (Appendix C) or by mailing a check made out to the DePaul University College of Law Moot Court Society. Payment should be sent to the same address and number given in Rule 5(a).

(c) Waitlist. A registered team that does not submit payment or the team information sheet by the November 11, 2011, deadline will not be allowed to compete in the Competition. In the event that a registered team fails to remit payment by November 11th, the Board will then begin to contact teams on the waitlist in the order in which their Registration Forms were received to invite them to compete in the Competition. The timeline for a waitlisted team to provide payment of the registration fee and the team information sheet will be at the discretion of the Board.

(d) Composition of Teams. Each team shall consist of two or three members. A member must, at the time of the Competition, be enrolled in a full- or part-time Juris Doctor or equivalent program at the ABA-accredited or provisionally accredited law school that the team represents. No member may be in current possession of a Juris Doctor degree and no member may be a member of more than one team in the Competition. Substitution of members in a team shall not be permitted after submission of the team's briefs unless the team obtains written consent from the Board. If a team chooses to replace team members, it must notify the Board at CHMOOT@gmail.com.

(e) Responsibilities of Team Members. Each team member must meaningfully participate in the writing of the team's brief. Team members may divide responsibilities for writing the brief in any manner that they see fit, but the Board's expectation is that at a minimum all team members will participate in some way in the research required to draft the brief and contribute text to the final brief that is submitted by the team. Each team member must also argue at least once in the preliminary rounds in order for the team to be eligible for advancement to later rounds.

(f) Withdrawal from Competition. If a registered team wishes to relinquish its spot in the Competition, please immediately contact the Board at CHMOOT@gmail.com. No team shall be entitled to a reimbursement or refund of the registration fee after submitting payment to the Competition. A team that chooses to withdraw from the competition at any time shall be solely responsible for any expenses incurred, including, but not limited to, hotel and travel expenses.

6. Outside Assistance and Consultation.

(a) Faculty Assistance. Team members should not receive outside assistance of any kind from a faculty member, coach, or any other person not on their team before filing a competition brief. This includes, but is not limited to, assistance from, sharing, or comparison of research or work product with members of another team from the same school. Team members may use computer-aided research and word processing software, including automated cite-checking or spell-checking systems.

(b) Participation of Competition Problem Writers. No person may participate in this Competition as a coach, advisor, or participant, or offer any assistance to a coach, advisor, or participant if he or she participated in the development of the Competition Problem, including, but not limited to, formulating or providing advice as to the content of the Problem, drafting or assisting in the drafting of the Problem, reviewing a draft of the Problem, or participating in a way that informs that person of the substantive content of the Problem.

(c) Communication Outside the Team. Except as otherwise provided in this section, each member of a team is prohibited from any discussion, either directly or indirectly, pertaining to the Competition Problem or any related issue with a person who is not a member of that particular team until such time as that team has submitted a brief for competition. After submission of the competition brief, team members may have others help them prepare for oral arguments. However, at no time may members of teams at different schools communicate with one another regarding the issues of the Competition Problem. Schools with two participating teams may conduct practice oral argument sessions against each other after the filing of the brief.

(d) Team Coaches. A participating team coach may only assist in the preparation for oral argument. The coach may be a student, faculty member, or advisor. Two teams from the same school may have the same coach.

7. Problem Dissemination & Notice and Service Requirements.

(a) Dissemination of Materials. No later than 5:00 p.m. (CST) on November 14, 2011, the Competition Chair will post the Problem onto the Competition's website. In addition, the Competition Chair will send each team its team identification information and brief assignment. Brief assignments shall be determined randomly, but two teams from the same school will not be assigned to the same side.

(b) Service of Briefs. Each team must serve the Board with the following materials in a single package, which must be RECEIVED by the Board no later than 5:00 p.m. (CST) Tuesday, January 10, 2012:

- (i) One (1) original measuring brief [This will be used to determine format compliance],
- (ii) Six (6) additional original briefs [To be used by the brief graders],
- (iii) One (1) original Certification Statement [Contained in Appendix D]

The package shall be sent to:

DePaul University College of Law
ATTN: Moot Court Society Board/CHL Competition
25 E. Jackson Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois 60604

We strongly encourage teams to send their briefs by United States overnight express mail or by Federal Express in order to ensure prompt delivery and to obtain tracking status of the delivery. No team will be allowed to alter its brief after the service deadline.

(c) Service of Other Teams. Each team shall also email one copy of its complete brief in PDF format no later than 5:00 p.m. (CST) Wednesday, January 11, 2012, to CHMOOT@gmail.com. The body of the email shall state the team's assigned identification, the name of the school, the names of all team members, and the assigned side on whose behalf the team wrote (Petitioner or Respondent).

The file name shall be in the following format: Team_A.pdf, Team_14.pdf, etc.

The competitor's briefs will be posted on the Competition's website by 5:00 p.m. (CST) on January 20, 2012, which shall be deemed as appropriate service upon other teams. Teams are solely responsible to access the Competition's website to download and print briefs of other teams. Briefs do not need to be served upon other teams in any other way, either by mail or email.

(d) Late Submissions. Briefs that are received after the deadline established in Rules 7b and 7c shall be subject to a point penalty as detailed in Rule 15.

8. Briefs.

(a) Assignment of Briefs. Once the registration deadline has passed, each team will be assigned a side to brief, either Petitioner or Respondent. Regardless of which side a team is assigned, it must be adequately prepared to argue either side of the issue during oral argument. Each team shall receive a randomly-assigned team identification number or letter that the team must use as the exclusive means of identification in its brief. Each team shall use its randomly assigned identification on the lower right cover of the brief and on the signature page. To ensure anonymous grading, no team shall state the names of its team members or the school it represents anywhere on the brief. Nor should a team provide its school's mailing address on the cover page or signature page of its brief. Disclosure of a team's actual identity on the brief cover or signature line will incur a point penalty as detailed in Rule 15. Providing the assigned team identification is sufficient counsel contact information for this Competition.

(b) Form of Briefs.

(i) Briefs should conform generally to rules 24 and 34 of the [Rules of the United States Supreme Court](#), unless otherwise provided for in the Rules or by the Board. This includes providing the required information on the cover page.

(ii) Briefs must conform to these further typographical requirements:

(1) Each brief shall be prepared with either a word processor or typewriter. The style and font (Times New Roman 12 point, see below) of each brief shall be uniform throughout the entire brief, including footnotes and covers. Each page shall be printed on one side using only black ink.

(2) The type size shall be Times New Roman 12 point and produce no more than sixteen (16) characters per inch. Sixteen (16) characters per inch will be measured by placing a ruler on any given page, marking one inch over the text and counting how many characters are located within that inch. If more than sixteen (16) characters are located within the inch, a violation of this rule will have occurred. The use of word processing programs' capabilities to alter the standard character spacing settings, such as "shrink-to-fit," constitutes a violation of this Rule.

(3) All text shall conform to twenty-eight (28) lines per page, including lines in footnotes. For purposes of calculating the number of lines on a given page, single-spaced quotations shall be counted as every other line. If there are more than twenty-eight (28) lines per page, a violation of this rule will have occurred.

(4) Pages shall be numbered consecutively in the lower right-hand corner of every page. In compliance with subsection (8), the Statement of the Case shall begin on page number 1.

(5) Each page shall be 8-1/2 inches by 11 inches and shall have top, bottom, left and right margins of 1-inch, excluding page numbers. If the margins are off from the 1-inch requirement, a violation of this rule will have occurred.

(6) Left justify all text. Do not use full justification of text. Do not provide additional spacing between paragraphs.

(7) Appendices may be used to recite the text of statutes, Constitutional provisions, regulations, and materials that are not generally available.

(8) Briefs shall not exceed thirty (30) pages in length, excluding the following:

- a. Title Page;
- b. Questions Presented;
- c. Table of Contents;
- d. Table of Authorities;
- e. Jurisdictional Statement;
- f. Opinion Below; and
- g. Appendices

(9) Each brief shall be printed on standard or bond white paper.

(10) Each brief shall have a front cover of cardstock.

- a. Each brief submitted for Petitioner shall have a blue front cover.
- b. Each brief submitted for Respondent shall have a red front cover.

(iii) Citation format. Citations must conform to the most recent edition of "The Bluebook: A Uniform System of Citation."

(iv) Reproductions. Briefs may be reproduced by any method that produces a clear black image on white paper, except carbon copying. However, each brief submitted by a team must have an appropriately colored cover page.

(v) Binding. Each brief submitted shall be velo-bound or spiral bound at the left margin.

(vi) Penalties against Briefs. A team's original measuring brief will be used to determine compliance with the Competition's format requirements. Any penalties assessed against a team for format violations will be deducted from the Team Brief Score.

(c) Changes to Briefs. No changes in the briefs will be permitted after they have been served on the Board, including correction of typographical errors.

(d) Brief Scoring.

(i) Judges. Each brief shall be evaluated by a panel of judges selected by the Board and LCCHP Board of Directors (or by a committee designated by the LCCHP Board of Directors for this purpose) in consultation with each other. Each judge shall individually evaluate each brief according to the Competition's official brief score sheet (Appendix E).

(ii) Computation of Overall Team Brief Score. A team's Team Brief Score shall be equal to the average of all the judges' scores. Team Brief Scores will be rounded to the nearest hundredth.

(iii) Weight of Team Brief Score. The Team Brief Score shall count toward forty percent of the Team Rank Score that determines which eight teams shall advance to the quarter-final round. The Team Brief Score shall count toward twenty-five percent of the Team Rank Score that determines which four teams shall advance to the semi-final round. The Team Brief Score will not be used to determine which teams advance to the final round. The Team Brief Score will not be used to calculate the winner of the final round unless the judges decide the teams are tied. In that case, the team with the higher Team Brief Score will be declared the winner.

9. Oral Argument

(a) Time. Each team is allotted thirty (30) minutes for its argument. Only two team members are permitted to speak in a given round. The team representing the Petitioner may reserve up to five minutes for rebuttal. Only one person from the Petitioner's team may give rebuttal, but the Petitioner team may deduct the rebuttal time from one or both of its team members during the primary argument period. The Petitioner's team is responsible for directing the bailiff on how the time should be allocated. The Respondent team will not have a rebuttal.

(b) Allotment of Time. Each team may allot its time as it sees fit, but each competing team member for a round must argue for a minimum of 10 minutes.

(c) Exhibits. No charts, diagrams, or other visual aids shall be used during oral arguments.

(d) Communication During Rounds. Once a round has begun, there shall be no communication in any form from any member of the audience, including coaches, faculty advisors, or team members who will not be arguing during that round. Teams are not permitted to disclose the identity of their schools to judges until either the conclusion of the Competition or the team's elimination from the Competition, whichever is earlier.

(e) Oral Argument Scoring.

(i) Judges. Each oral argument will be evaluated by a panel of a minimum of three judges selected by the Board. Each judge shall individually evaluate each competitor according to the Competition's official oral argument score sheet (Appendix F).

(ii) Computation of Team Oral Argument Score. A team's Team Prelim Oral Score for each preliminary round will be calculated by averaging the individual oral argument scores received by a team's competitors in that round. For instance, in the first preliminary round, the team representing the Petitioner would receive individual oral argument scores for the first competitor (one from each judge) and individual oral argument scores for the second competitor (one from each judge). All of those scores would be added and that amount divided by the total number of score sheets to calculate the team's Team Prelim Oral Score 1. A team's Team Oral Score for the quarter- and semi-final rounds will be calculated in the same manner. All Team Oral Scores will be rounded to the nearest hundredth.

(iii) Weight of Team Oral Argument Score. The Team Prelim Oral Argument Score shall count toward sixty-percent of the Team Rank Score that determines which eight teams shall advance to the quarter-final round. The Team Oral Argument Score from the quarter-final round shall count toward seventy-five percent of the Team Rank Score that determines which four teams shall advance to the semi-final round.

10. Attendance at Oral Arguments. No team member, coach or faculty advisor of a team participating in the competition may attend an argument of any other team during the preliminary rounds. Absent the consent of both teams, the attendees at each argument are limited to the members of the competing teams and the coaches or faculty advisors for each team. Requests for permission for additional attendees must be made to the Board before the teams are escorted to the argument room. All attendees must sit in an area designated by the bailiff. This Rule does not apply for the quarter-final, semi-final, and final rounds of the Competition, with the exception that no team member, coach or faculty advisor of a team may watch a round in which they are not involved until that team has been eliminated.

11. Scoring and Advancement in the Competition.

(a) Odd Number of Competing Teams. In the event that an odd number of teams register for the Competition, the Board will field a "ghost" team during the preliminary rounds to ensure

that each team argues against an opponent. Oral argument judges will not be informed of which team is the “ghost” team and it will be evaluated like every other team in the competition. The “ghost” team will not be eligible to advance past the preliminary rounds.

(b) Preliminary Rounds.

(1) Each team will argue in three preliminary rounds. Pairings for which teams compete against one another during the preliminary rounds will be random, except teams from the same school will not be paired against one another in the preliminary rounds. During the preliminary rounds, each team will twice represent the side for which it wrote its brief. Each team will argue twice on the first day of Competition and once on the morning of the second day of Competition. The Board reserves the right to reduce the number of preliminary rounds if made necessary by the number of teams registering for the Competition.

(2) At the end of the preliminary rounds, each team shall be given a Team Rank Score calculated in the following manner: $0.40 [\text{Team Brief Score}] + 0.60 [(\text{Team Prelim Oral Score 1} + \text{Team Prelim Oral Score 2} + \text{Team Prelim Oral Score 3})/3]$. The eight (8) highest scoring teams from the preliminary round shall advance to the quarter-final round. In the event of a tie, the team with the higher brief score will advance.

(c) Quarter-final Round.

(1) Competition in this round is head-to-head.

(2) The higher ranked teams from the preliminary rounds shall be paired against the lower ranked teams; for example, number 1 will be paired against number 8, number 2 against number 7, and so on. Because of the seeded pairing, teams that faced each other in the preliminary rounds and teams from the same school may meet in the quarter-final round. Teams will be assigned randomly to represent Petitioner or Respondent.

(3) At the end of the quarter-final round, each team shall be given a new Team Rank Score calculated in the following manner: $0.25 [\text{Team Brief Score}] + 0.75 [\text{Team QF Oral Score}]$. The team with the highest Team Rank Score from each quarter-final round will advance to the semi-final round.

(d) Semi-final and Final Rounds.

(1) Competition in these rounds is head-to-head.

(2) The higher ranked teams from the quarter-final round shall be paired against the lower ranked teams from that round; that is, number 1 will be paired against number 4, and number 2 against number 3. Because of the seeded pairing, teams that faced each other previously and teams from the same school may meet in the semi-final round. Teams will be assigned randomly to represent Petitioner or Respondent.

(3) The team with the highest Team Oral Score in each semi-final round shall advance to the final round.

(4) The teams advancing to the final round will be assigned randomly to represent Petitioner or Respondent.

(5) After the completion of the final round, the sitting judges will confer and determine the winning team, and are not required to use score sheets or to calculate a numerical score. In the event of a tie, the team with the higher Team Brief Score will be declared the winner.

12. Awards. The Competition will give the following six awards:

- (a) Best Brief and Runner-up Best Brief
- (b) Best Preliminary Round Oralist and Runner-up Oralist (participation in a minimum of two preliminary rounds required)
- (c) Champion and Runner-up Team

13. Clarification, Interpretation and Determinations

Submit requests for clarification of the rules to:
CHMOOT@gmail.com
Subject: Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Rules

Submit requests for clarification of the fact pattern to:
CHMOOT@gmail.com
Subject: Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Fact Pattern

Clarifications and interpretations will be posted on the Competition website and sent to the email address of each team's contact person. Scoring is inherently subjective. The Board's determination of advancing and winning teams and of winning competitors shall be final. In the event of an error, the Board reserves the right, but has no obligation, to grant additional awards to any team or competitor disadvantaged by the error. Any decisions or other actions taken by the Board shall be final and binding on all participants.

14. Amendments. The Board reserves the right to make and implement any further rules and procedures or take any actions deemed advisable for the conduct of the Competition. Each participating school will be notified of any changes in writing or by e-mail addressed to the designated team contact person.

15. Penalties. The Board may assess such penalties, including disqualification, as it deems reasonable and appropriate for failure to comply with the foregoing rules. All penalties imposed by the Board shall be final and binding. Specific penalties that will be deducted from a team's Final Brief Score for the following rule violations are:

(a) Three (3) points per calendar day (up to a maximum of 9 points) for late service of briefs and related materials required by Rule 7, above;

(b) Two (2) points per page beyond the page limit for the brief;

(c) Three (3) points for any type of binding that does not conform with Rule 8(b)(v);

(d) One half (½) point for each violation of the format, margin, or type-size requirements. A single mistake, such as an incorrect margin on an entire brief, will only incur a single penalty, not ½ point for each page. If in the Board's opinion a specific violation gives the team an advantage, an additional one half (½) point will be deducted.

Appendix A

Registration Form
Third Annual National Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Competition
(Please Print or Type the Requested Information)

School Name: _____

Official Team Contact Person for School (please give only one name even if registering two teams):

Name: _____

School mailing address for Competition mailings:

Telephone: _____

Fax: _____

Email: _____

I would like to register ONE / TWO (please circle) teams from my school for the Second Annual National Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Competition. I have read the Competition Rules and understand that registering a team does not entitle it to compete unless the \$400.00 registration fee and the team information sheet are timely submitted as detailed in Rule 5.

Contact Person Signature

Appendix B

Team Information Sheet
Third Annual National Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Competition
(Please Print or Type the Requested Information)

School Name: _____

All names should be listed as you wish them to appear on correspondence or public award announcements.

Team 1

First Team Member:

Name: _____
Email: _____

Second Team Member:

Name: _____
Email: _____

Third Team Member:

Name: _____
Email: _____

Team Coach/Advisor:

Name: _____
Email: _____

Team 2 (if applicable)

First Team Member:

Name: _____
Email: _____

Second Team Member:

Name: _____
Email: _____

Third Team Member:

Name: _____
Email: _____

Team/Coach Advisor (if different):

Name: _____
Email: _____

Official Team Contact Person for School (only complete if different from Registration Form):

Name: _____

School mailing address for Competition mailings:

Telephone: _____

Fax: _____

Email: _____

Appendix C

Credit Card Payment Form
Third Annual National Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Competition
(Please Print or Type the Requested Information)

School Name: _____

Name on Card: _____

Card Number: _____

Expiration Date: _____

Amount: \$400 (registration of one team)

 \$800 (registration of two teams)

 \$____(other, please describe _____)

TOTAL: _____

Signature: _____

Appendix D

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

We certify that the brief submitted by Team _____, of _____ Law School, is completed in accordance with the Rules of the National Cultural Heritage Law Moot Court Competition, and represents the work product of only the undersigned members of the team, and we agree to comply and be bound by those Rules throughout the Competition. Furthermore, we agree that if the undersigned, either individually or collectively, violates any of the Competition Rules, the DePaul University College of Law Moot Court Society Board may disqualify the team or take any other disciplinary action deemed appropriate at the sole discretion of the Moot Court Society Board. We grant to DePaul University College of Law and to the Lawyers' Committee for Cultural Heritage Preservation a nonexclusive right to publish the brief on the Internet and in print. We also acknowledge that by participating in the Competition we may be photographed or videotaped during our participation in the Competition and those images may be used to promote the Competition on its website or in other media.

Dated: _____, 2012

(Team Member)

(Team Member)

(Team Member)

Brief Judging Form

Team: _____

Brief: Petitioner (BLUE) ____ Respondent (RED) ____

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
I. Organization All sections are included; Positions are clearly identified, discussed, and concluded; the brief transitions between issues and sub-issues.	10 pts.	_____
II. Arguments Key issues are fully explained without unduly dwelling on minor issues.	10 pts.	_____
All legal issues are treated adequately.	10 pts.	_____
Arguments advanced are soundly reasoned, reflect an understanding of favorable and unfavorable authority, integrate the facts of the case, and advance relevant policy considerations.	25 pts.	_____
III. Authorities A reasonable number of authorities are cited and authorities hold as claimed.	10 pts.	_____
The advocate’s positions are supported by relevant and leading authorities or the absence of such authority is explained.	25 pts.	_____
IV. Citations Cited authorities in accordance with the most recent edition of the “Bluebook: A Uniform System of Citation.”	10 pts.	_____

For a category worth **10 points**, judges SHOULD NOT give a score below 5 points and must use this scale: 5 is Poor, 6 is Below Average, 7-8 is Average, 9 is Above Average, and 10 is Excellent.

For a category worth **25 points**, judges SHOULD NOT give a score below 12 points and must use this scale: 12-13 is Poor, 14-16 is Below Average, 17-20 is Average, 21-23 is Above Average, and 24-25 is Excellent.

Oral Argument Judging Form

Judge's Name: _____

Team #: _____

Party: Petitioner OR Respondent
(circle one)

Round: _____

(Prelim I, II, III, Quarter, Semi)

Competitor Name: _____

Points
Available

Points
Awarded

I. Substance Matter

- a. Issue recognition
- b. Integrates facts with legal arguments
- c. Precision and focus of argument
- d. Analysis of authorities
- e. Comprehension of policies underlying the arguments

40 pts.

II. Persuasiveness

- a. Reinforces favorable authority
- b. Distinguishes unfavorable authority
- c. Sound premises and logical conclusion
- d. Adequate preparation and background knowledge

20pts.

III. Questions from Judges

- a. Respectful attention paid to judges
- b. Responsive to questions asked
- c. Answers questions sufficiently
- d. Acknowledges weaknesses when appropriate

20 pts.

IV. Presentation

- a. Follows court procedures
- b. Demonstrates enthusiasm and conviction
- c. Uses clear and convincing speech
- d. Keeps poise while being questioned

20 pts.

Judges should consider the following scale when awarding points for **Category I:**

DO NOT give a score below 20 points. 20-23 is Poor, 24-27 is Below Average, 28-33 is Average, 34-37 is Above Average, and 38-40 is Excellent.

Judges should consider the following scale when awarding points for **Categories II, III, and IV:**

DO NOT give a score below 10 points. 10-11 is Poor, 12-13 is Below Average, 14-16 is Average, 17-18 is Above Average, and 19-20 is Excellent.