

SYLLABUS
TRIAL ADVOCACY STUDENTS
SPRING SEMESTER

IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR SPRING SEMESTER 2008
TRIAL ADVOCACY STUDENTS

ALL STUDENTS ENROLLED IN TRIAL ADVOCACY FOR THE SPRING SEMESTER MUST REPORT FOR THE FIRST CLASS SESSION TO **COURTROOM 1101 of the RICHARD J. DALEY CENTER**. CLASS WILL BEGIN PROMPTLY AT 5:50 P.M. ON WEDNESDAY, **JANUARY 16, 2008**. BE SURE TO HAVE A PICTURE I.D. WITH YOU FOR THE SECURITY CHECK. YOU WILL BE ASSIGNED TO YOUR SECTIONS DURING THE FIRST CLASS SESSION.

ANY STUDENT WHO FAILS TO APPEAR FOR THE FIRST CLASS WILL BE PRESUMED TO HAVE DROPPED THE COURSE UNLESS PRIOR ARRANGEMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE WITH ASSOCIATE DEAN HOWARD M. RUBIN (312) 362-8298.

I. **Required Materials**

Mauet, Trial Techniques, (Aspen Law and Business; Aspen Publishers, Inc. 7thed.).
Mauet & Wolfson, Materials in Trial Advocacy, Problems and Cases, (Aspen Law and Business; Aspen Publishers, Inc. 6thed.).

II. **Recommended Optional Materials**

These selected readings will assist you in further understanding the course and in preparing your classroom exercises. Copies are available in the library.

Bailey, Rothblatt, Preparation and Defense of a Criminal Case
Bennett and Hess, Criminal Investigation
Bergman, Trial Advocacy (Nutshell)
Binder and Bergman, Fact Investigation
Goldberg, The First Trial: Where Do I Sit? What Do I Say? (Nutshell)
Goldstein and Lane, Trial Techniques (3 volume set)
Harr, A Civil Action
Hegland, Trial and Practice Skills (Nutshell)
Imwinkelreid, Evidentiary Foundations (**STRONGLY recommended**)
Jeans, Trial Advocacy
Keeton, Trial Tactics and Methods
McKelhaney, Effective Litigation
Nolan, Trial Practice

In addition, the library maintains a large number of civil and criminal practice manuals published by the Illinois Institute for Continuing Legal Education (IICLE). For Illinois law, refer also to:

Hunter, Trial Handbook for Illinois Lawyers
Cleary and Graham, Handbook on Illinois Evidence
Ruebner, et al. Illinois Criminal Procedure

III. **Method and Format**

Class Meetings

The class meets Wednesdays evenings from 5:50-8:30 p.m. at the Richard J. Daley Center.

Simulation and Critique Method

The course will be conducted by the simulation and critique method. Each student will be assigned to a section, and one-two instructors will supervise each section. Students will be required to prepare several simulated trial exercises for each class session. Please give us the benefit of your best work in class. Practice the exercises assigned as many times as possible, preferably out loud and in front of anyone who will listen.

Please review the “Points for Evaluation” included in this syllabus. They establish a framework on which the critiques will be based.

During the class time, the instructor will call upon the students, individually or in teams, to assume the role of counsel for one of the parties. The students will then perform the assigned exercise. Performance in class is always followed by critique. The purpose of the critique is not to put you on the defensive but to help you and others in the class develop skills and to enable you to learn from the experience. Be prepared to answer each of the following questions:

- 1) What were you trying to accomplish?
- 2) How did the examination address elements of your cause of action or defense?
- 3) What evidentiary issues did you anticipate from the problem?
- 4) Do you think you succeeded?
- 5) What additional objectives, if any, did you consider and reject?

Preparation and Performance

Although you may only be called upon to perform one simulation each week, you must be prepared to perform each problem assigned to your team. You will receive a grade for each of your performances.

Non-Performance due to Time Constraints

While an instructor will schedule as many simulations and critiques as class time allows, time constraints occasionally make it impossible for all of the students in each section to perform. If, because of time constraints, you do not perform on a given week, you will not receive a grade for that night. You must, however, inform your instructor the following week, so that you can be called upon early and not risk missing further work or grading.

Non-Performance Due to Absence or Failure to Prepare

In addition to the excused release from performance on a given week described above, each student will have the opportunity to miss one performance. If you are never absent, you will be able to drop your lowest grade, and have your average computed based upon a reduced number of grades and class sessions. Do not waste your “freebie.” If you miss more than one performance for reasons other than time constraints, your average will be computed as if you received a “F” for each of those additional missed classes.

Administrative Matters

A list with names, addresses and phone numbers will be distributed within the first few weeks of class. The purpose of this is to facilitate communication among the students. Students are encouraged to work together. When instructors name the students who will act as witnesses the following week, you are encouraged to contact those students to prepare their testimony.

Mary Rita Luecke (847) 679-4922 (mrljd@rcn.com) is the team leader for Wednesday. Any questions concerning weekly assignments or the content of the course should be directed to her. Direct all other comments or suggestions regarding the course to Associate Dean Howard M. Rubin.

IV. **Method of Evaluation**

You will be graded on your performance in each classroom simulation. You will also be graded on your overall classroom participation and your performance during the trial at the end of the semester. The final trial will account for 1/4 of the final grade. Participation includes, but is not limited to, evidence of preparation for the simulated exercises, quality of involvement in class discussion, and degree of professional skills demonstrated. While instructors will not divulge weekly grades, you are encouraged to discuss your work and progress with them.

A word about the curve: although trial ad is technically exempt from the school-wide curve, there is no guarantee of a high grade. Grades are curved within the class.

Showing up and winging it will not serve you well. By the same token, you need not be the most charismatic person in the world to excel. As with most courses, preparation and attention to constructive critique is key.

There is one requirement for this course: Completion of ten hours of courtroom observation (see section VII). This requires the submission of written documentation to Maria Ayala DePaul College of Law 8th floor Lewis by certain specified dates.

STUDENTS WHO FAIL TO COMPLETE THIS REQUIREMENT WILL RECEIVE A GRADE OF “FX” FOR THE COURSE.

There is no written final examination.

V. **Professional Attire**

While choice may differ, every successful trial lawyer recognizes the importance of dressing for trial. Professional attire enhances the attorney's image and strengthens the presentation.

Professional attire is required for certain exercises in the course. Whenever you see “PROFESSIONAL DRESS REQUIRED” in the weekly assignments, you must adhere to the dress code or risk being graded down for the exercise.

VI. **Final Trial**

At the end of the semester, each student will participate as co-counsel in the simulation of an entire trial. The assignment for the final trial will be distributed in week eleven (11). Partner Preference sheets are due by **April 2, 2008**. Please submit only one preference sheet per team. The trials will be scheduled according to student and faculty availability.

VII. **Court Observation Requirements ****DEADLINE DATE: WEDNESDAY, APRIL 16, 2008******

Every student is required to spend a minimum of 10 hours during the semester as an observer of contested evidentiary proceedings in the Dirksen Federal Building, the Daley Center, or the Criminal Court Building at 26th and California. Traffic court observations do not count. If you wish to visit a court other than the three listed, please obtain prior approval from Associate Dean Howard M. Rubin. Students **will not** receive credit for more than four hours observing any one civil or criminal action. Thus, students must visit **at least three** courtrooms in session as part of the 10-hour requirement.

NOTE: Student's are required to complete a separate “Court Observation Form” (See form attached) for each courtroom they visit. Each form must describe the nature and extent of proceedings observed, witness examinations or arguments of counsel observed, presence of juries, and your impressions of the court and counsels' understanding of the rules of evidence and trial technique. Please give completed Court Observation forms to Maria Ayala DePaul College of Law 8th floor Lewis.

VIII. **Professional Responsibility Issues**

A thorough understanding of the role of professionalism in the trial system is an essential part of preparation for trial advocacy. A first step toward developing this understanding is to know the provisions of the Rules of Professional Responsibility bearing upon the advocate's responsibility as a representative of the client and as an officer of the court. You will develop an ability to recognize the meaning of these provisions in those practical contexts for which the Rules provide clear answers, and to know and understand the different professional opinions regarding issues that are not clearly answered under the Rules.

Some of the problem materials in this course are designed to confront student counsel with issues of professional responsibility in circumstances typical of those in which the issues are likely to arise in practice. In addition, issues of professional responsibility, though not planned in advance as part of an exercise, sometimes arise because of the particular way in which the performance develops.

IX. **Discrimination and Sexual Harassment**

The department recognizes that sex discrimination and sexual harassment is still a problem in the courts. To increase student awareness of the problem and to promote ideas on how to address it we have placed three articles on reserve in the library that analyze the problem.

It is the policy of the University and Lawyering Skills Department to maintain a program free of sex discrimination and sexual harassment. Any complaints of inappropriate behavior should be directed to Associate Dean Howard M. Rubin (x28298) or Professor Luecke, (847-679-4922).

X. **Relations with Clerical/Administrative Personnel**

One final word to the wise: During the course of your careers, you will have considerable interaction with clerical and administrative personnel, both in your firm and for the courts. Because of the amount of control they can exert over your daily business, you must make every effort to keep that interaction productive. The most effective way of handling difficult encounters is to remain respectful and courteous.

The Trial Ad program involves more interaction between staff and students than other classes at DePaul. You will do well to have empathy, be civil and show respect in these exchanges as well.

DePaul Law School

Trial Advocacy

Points for Evaluation:

Direct Examination

- ✓ Organization
- ✓ Witness introduction & background
- ✓ Background appropriate to witness and purpose of testimony
- ✓ Verbal description of scene from occurrence and other appropriate witnesses
- ✓ Appropriate description of events & damages
- ✓ Logical sequence to questions
- ✓ Theory – developed and clear from questions
- ✓ Completeness
- ✓ Form of Question - Open-ended for most part
- ✓ Good use of who, what, when, where, why and how Q's
- ✓ Exceptions: transition Q's leading to new area of inquiry
- ✓ Foundation Q's for conversations or exhibits
- ✓ Evidence of listening to witness: appropriate follow-up questions
- ✓ Demeanor - professional but conversational
- ✓ Placement in Courtroom – back out of jury's line of vision
- ✓ Pace & delivery of questions
- ✓ Diminishing reliance on notes
- ✓ Strong ending

Cross Examination

- ✓ Theory – developed and clear from questions
- ✓ Completely addresses all non-frivolous issues raised by the facts
- ✓ Form of Question – single fact leading questions throughout
- ✓ Good witness control – repeat questions to get answers when necessary
- ✓ Organization
- ✓ Questions relating to same point/theory are asked together
- ✓ Placement in Courtroom – in jury's line of vision
- ✓ Demeanor – appropriate to witness
- ✓ Effective beginning and closing questions
- ✓ Evidence of listening to witness: appropriate follow-up questions
- ✓ Diminishing reliance on notes

Impeachment by Prior Inconsistent Statement

Note: prior statements of witnesses provide information for you to use in developing your cross-examination. They do not provide the basis for impeachment unless and until the witness testifies on the stand to something contrary to the information contained in the prior statement.

- ✓ Clear contrast between trial testimony and prior statement
- ✓ The contradiction concerns a material point or is otherwise relevant to your theory
- ✓ On cross, you have recommitted the person to their contrary trial testimony
- ✓ Appropriate accreditation of prior statement
- ✓ Appropriate clarifying questions where contradiction is ambiguous
- ✓ Correct format of questions exposing the contradiction
- ✓ Note: format of questions will vary based on nature of prior inconsistent statement
- ✓ Knowing what to do when the witness denies, is ambivalent about or admits his prior inconsistent statement

Objections

- ✓ Proper basis
- ✓ Timing: objection is made after question is complete, before answer given
- ✓ Basis articulated by short phrase, without argument, to the judge (the judge may ask you to further explain)
- ✓ Stand when objecting
- ✓ Problem is properly analyzed and all non-frivolous objections have been made
- ✓ Ability to correctly respond to opponent's objections
- ✓ Recovery when objections sustained

Exhibits

- ✓ Correct identification of exhibit by name (proponent - # - "for identification" before receipt in evidence by judge)
- ✓ Proper foundation
- ✓ Appropriate use of exhibit within context of entire examination (consider both timing and importance/weight given to exhibit in relation to balance of examination)
- ✓ Ambiguous information on exhibit is explained by witness
- ✓ Clear and appropriate marking by witness of exhibit, when appropriate
- ✓ Create "legend" where appropriate
- ✓ Publication of exhibit to jury

Opening Statements

- ✓ Impact opener
- ✓ Good “storytelling”
- ✓ Clear logical organization
- ✓ Suggests theory of case
- ✓ Clarity of explanation
- ✓ Emphasizes facts, not conclusions (does not overstate)
- ✓ “Broad brush” strokes
- ✓ Use of exhibits where appropriate
- ✓ No first person (“I believe”)
- ✓ Strong ending requesting verdict for plaintiff/defendant stated w/conviction

Closing Argument

- ✓ Impact opener
- ✓ Argument rooted in case as it evolved
- ✓ Develops theory of case
- ✓ Clear forceful explanations of why facts prove theory
- ✓ Refutation of opponent’s case = secondary to proving own case
- ✓ Use of exhibits where appropriate
- ✓ Appropriate use of rhetorical devices
- ✓ Repetition
- ✓ Alliteration
- ✓ Rhetorical questions
- ✓ Strong ending requesting verdict for plaintiff/defendant stated w/conviction

XII. TRIAL ADVOCACY STUDENTS SEMESTER ASSIGNMENTS

A. ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS ONE (Voir Dire)

1. STUDENTS MUST BE PREPARED FOR THE FIRST CLASS
2. Read pp. 1-60 & 477-478 in Mauet, Fundamentals of Trial Techniques
3. In Mauet/Wolfson, Materials in Trial Advocacy, Problems and Cases do:
 - a) Problem 1.3 (All Students prepare for Plaintiff and Defendant)
 - b) Problem 1.5 (All Students prepare for Plaintiff and Defendant)

B. ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS TWO (Direct and Cross Examination)

1. Name, address & phone lists are being completed tonight. They will be duplicated and distributed next week. The purpose is to facilitate communication among you. When Instructors name the persons to act as witness for the following week, you are encouraged to contact those persons to prepare their testimony.
2. Read Mauet, Fundamentals of Trial Technique:

Direct examination	pp. 97-151
Redirect examination	pp. 165-168
Cross examination	pp. 251-277, 303-311
Objections	pp. 447-476

Exercises:

	Instructions
3.9	All students prepare both direct and cross examinations.
4.13	All students prepare both direct and cross examinations. Do not use map

3. You are to thoroughly analyze the problem from both the State and defense perspective and to prepare draft direct and cross examination of the witness.
4. This class will be spent first in thorough analysis of the problem, followed by student simulations and a faculty demonstration.
5. Please remind your instructors to designate the students who will act as witnesses for the following week so that you may contact them to prepare them.

C. **ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS THREE (Direct and Cross Examination cont.)**

1. Foundations: Conversations (face-to-face and phone); Refreshing and Recorded Recollection.

Review last week's readings and additional pages below.

Foundations	pp. 142-151, 212-214
-------------	----------------------

Exercises:

	<u>Team A</u>	<u>Team B</u>
3.7	Direct	Cross
3.11	Cross	Direct
3.2	Direct	Cross
3.3 (Parts 1 & 4)	Cross	Direct

2. **Note:** some of these problems incorporate conversations in the direct examination. You are expected to know the relevant foundation questions for face-to-face conversations (When did it take place? Where did it take place? Who was present?) and for phone conversations and to incorporate those foundations into your examination. Refreshing a witness' recollection and using past recollection recorded likewise require specific evidentiary procedures and foundation questions to be properly conducted. Evidentiary Foundations by Imwinkelreid is very helpful to understanding both the evidentiary underpinnings and the specific questions that must be asked to lay a correct foundation.

D. **ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS FOUR (Objections and Witness Preparation)**

1. Read Mauet:

Objections	pp. 447-476
Review Rules of Evidence	pp. 547-578

Exercises:

	<u>Team A</u>	<u>Team B</u>
<u>Wagner*</u>	Direct	Cross
Monahan*	Cross	Direct
Cunningham*	Direct	Cross

*THESE PROBLEMS CONCERN THE ART OF MAKING OBJECTIONS. TO PREPARE THE EXERCISES, YOU WILL HAVE TO REVIEW THE EVIDENCE YOU HAVE LEARNED, PARTICULARLY THE HEARSAY RULE. **The problems are attached to your syllabus.**

One aspect of litigation is preparing your witness to testify. This includes at minimum reviewing:

- ✓ questions you will ask on direct,
- ✓ anticipated problems with cross,
- ✓ what to do if the opposing attorney objects,
- ✓ subjects about which they may not testify because of the Rules of Evidence,
- ✓ in general what to expect of the trial experience.

One or two students may be asked to demonstrate how they would prepare Mrs. Monahan for her trial testimony.

2. When assigned to conduct a direct examination, students should, by now, also be preparing the redirect examination. Think ahead about what rehabilitation your witness might need.
3. **Don't forget the courtroom observation requirement.** A list of Chicago area courtrooms is attached to help you fulfill this requirement.

E. **ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS FIVE (EXHIBITS)**

1. Read Mauet, EXHIBITS, pp. 169-249

Exercises:

	Team A	Team B
3.14		Proponent
3.15	Proponent	
4.3	Proponent	
4.5		Proponent
4.13		Proponent
4.14 (Parts 1 & 2)	Proponent	

2. **ASSIGNMENT PREPARATION HINTS:** This week’s assignment is on Exhibits. (Please review “Points for Evaluation at page 7 of the syllabus.) You are not only to properly identify, authenticate, and introduce the exhibits into evidence, but also to **USE** the exhibit in the witness’ testimony where appropriate. Opponents: will you challenge the admissibility (problems with authenticity/foundation or the weight (relevance to the action) of the exhibit? “Extra credit” will be given to students who prepare enlarged exhibits or models appropriate to the problem.
3. No cross-examinations are assigned for this week; however all students should be prepared to object to the foundation/admission and use of the exhibit, if appropriate

F. ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS SIX (Impeachment)

1. **REMINDER: Final Trial Partner Preference Sheets Are Due By Wednesday, April 2, 2008.**

2. Read Mauet, pp. 277-303.

Exercises:

	Instructions
5.2	All students prepare a full cross-examination and redirect examination of the witness.
5.6	
5.7	
5.9	

2. **Note: Use the prior statement from the problem to develop your theory. Prepare a full cross examination of the witness incorporating the impeachment into the cross.** (Please review Points for Evaluation at page 7 of the syllabus.)
3. When you impeach a witness by his/her prior inconsistent statement. Follow these three steps:
 - A) **RECOMMIT** the witness to the direct testimony that you will be impeaching. That sets up the impeachment so that the jury knows precisely what the contradiction is. Be sure the trial testimony on direct is contradicted by the prior statement. Use clarifying questions if necessary to develop the contradiction.
 - B) **ACCREDIT** the prior statement. Build up the circumstances of the making of the statement which you will use to impeach so that it seems to be more reliable than the direct testimony.

- C) **EXPOSE** the impeachment. “And at that time, you said.....didn’t you?” DO NOT start up with “were you lying then or are you lying now?” Some clarifying questions may be necessary to illuminate the implications of the inconsistency. Then leave the impeachment alone and either sit down or go on to something else. You may also argue the implications of the impeachment during closing.

A number of these problems require use of an exhibit. You will be expected to properly refer to the exhibit (Proponent’s name: i.e. “Defense”, Exhibit #, i.e. 1, and “for identification”) show the exhibit to opposing counsel and request permission to approach the witness.

G. ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS SEVEN (Impeachment)

1. Review last week’s readings.

Exercises:

	<u>Team A</u>	<u>Team B</u>
5.8	Cross	Direct/Redirect
5.12		Cross
5.14	Cross	
5.19	Direct/Redirect	Cross

2. Please note the different contexts in which the prior inconsistent statement can be found and craft appropriate questions for exposing the inconsistency given the context.
3. Time permitting, there will be a short demonstration at the end of class concerning use of exhibits in a direct examination.
4. Prepare a full cross examination of each witness assigned

H. ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS EIGHT (Closing Arguments)

1. Reading: Mauet, 387-445, 479-481, 483-525

Exercises: Per Distributed Handout

	<u>Team A</u>	<u>Team B</u>
7.4	Defendant	Plaintiff
7.8	United States	Defendant

2. The team leader for Wednesday night will distribute specific assignments concerning this class to all students one week before this class. You will be assigned to represent either the Plaintiff/State or Defense on one of the two listed problems. Sections with more than eight students may be assigned a trial from the casebook. The purpose of this class is to help you understand how preparing for your closing argument can be a tool for developing your theory of the case based on the law and facts of the given problem. Once you understand your theory and the applicable burden of proof for the case, that theory should be used to develop both the closing argument to which you are assigned and the direct and cross for Class Nine. (Please also review “Points for Evaluation at page 8 of the syllabus.)
3. Closing argument is limited to 8-10 minutes.
4. As part of this assignment, you must bring to class copies of the relevant Illinois or federal Pattern Jury Instructions outlining the issues to be proved for the prima facie case and any defenses raised by the problems. Pattern Jury Instructions may be found in the Westlaw or in the reference section of the library. In addition the 7th Circuit has both civil and criminal instructions at <http://www.ca7.uscourts.gov>. Where more than one student is assigned to represent the same client, you will both present a closing argument for that client. We encourage you to work with your “co-counsel” to develop your theory of the case and analysis of the problem. You will be graded separately on your individual closing argument.
5. Do not even THINK about reading or even using extensive notes for your closing. This is an exercise in persuasion, not lecture. Remember, use the jury-centered approach. Think about those 12 people at all times: worry less about getting all the facts in and more about convincing people you are right.
6. Please concentrate on the theory of your case, argue the facts (explain how they prove your theory) and PRACTICE, PRACTICE, PRACTICE.

I. **ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS Nine (Strategy/Theory)**

1. Re-read Mauet, pp 483-525.

Exercises: Per Distributed Handout

	<u>Team A</u>	<u>Team B</u>
7.4	Defendant	Plaintiff
7.8	United States	Defendant

2. For this week’s class, you will continue representing the same client for whom you presented your closing argument. Again, please note, sections with more than eight students may be assigned a trial from the casebook. Please follow the assignment sheet provided by the team leader.
3. All students should be prepared to incorporate skills from earlier classes into these examinations and to make appropriate objections to admission of the evidence.
4. You will receive a handout this week indicating your mini trial and opening statement assignment.
5. **Don't forget the courtroom observation requirement.** A list of Chicago area courtrooms is attached to help you fulfill this requirement.

J. **ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS Ten (Opening Statements)**

1. Reading: Mauet, pp. 13-29, 61-95, 478-479

Potential exercises:

7.1	Automobile accident
7.2	Civil rights/excessive force
7.6	Murder/self-defense
7.7	Drug Entrapment case
7.9	Armed Robbery/ID case
7.10	Political Corruption
9.3	Negligence Contribution Action

2. Exercises: Each student will present an opening statement for the client s/he is assigned to represent on the mini trial. If more than one person is assigned to represent a single party, each student must prepare an opening statement for that case. The opening statement is an individual, not group or team assignment. Each student will be graded for the opening statement that they presented. **These assignments will carry over for the mini trials of the next two classes.** Schedule trials for the upcoming weeks with your faculty member. The team leader for Wednesday night will distribute specific assignments concerning this class to all students one week before this class.
3. Your opening statements should be brief. Please aim for five minutes in length. Remember, your primary task is to tell a story. Try to give the opening statement in English and not in legalese. Try also to highlight for the jury what you think will be the key or crucial evidence.
4. Don't even think about reading your opening statements to the jury. If notes are absolutely necessary, make them as inconspicuous as possible.
5. **Your final trial preference sheets are due on Wednesday, April 2, 2008.** This allows you to indicate your preference for a partner and the kind of trial you would like to do. Though no guarantees are made, we will do what we can to accommodate your preference.

**K. ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASSES ELEVEN and TWELVE (Mini Trials)
PROFESSIONAL DRESS REQUIRED**

During classes 11 and 12, students will conduct mini trials of the case for which they prepared their opening statement. (It will take two weeks to accommodate everyone.) You will be responsible for a direct and cross-examination of one witness per side, and for making all trial objections and legal arguments.

Unfortunately, there is not time for pre-trial hearings. Assume that all pre-trial motions have been denied. Brief motions-in-limine will be allowed. Note: Federal Rules of Evidence apply to evidentiary issues.

Witnesses may not read from a prepared script, but may take documents with them to refresh their memory. You are strongly encouraged to bring in outside witnesses for these mini trials; however, you may choose other students in your section who are not involved in your case. Each team of two should divide the work equally between them.

There is no additional assignment for class 11. On that evening we will continue the mini trials. Attendance is required of all students for both weeks.

Court observation reports may be turned in anytime between now and **Wednesday, April 16, 2008** to Maria Ayala DePaul College of Law 8th floor Lewis. Remember to check the syllabus for report guidelines. Your reports should comment on what you observed.

L. **ASSIGNMENT FOR CLASS THIRTEEN (Pre-trial Conference)**

Reading: Mauet, pp. 483-525

1. The purpose of the pre-trial conference is to address all possible issues prior to the trial itself, including evidentiary matters, selection of witnesses and jury instructions.
2. For the pre-trial conference, you must prepare a pre-trial memorandum (one per side) that includes:
 - a) A short memorandum, no longer than two pages, addressing your theory of the case and the relevant supporting facts.
 - b) A list of witnesses you intend to call- in person or by stipulation
 - c) A list of the pre-numbered exhibits you intend to offer into evidence.
 - d) Any relevant motions in limine.
 - e) Jury instructions setting forth the issues of the case and relevant defenses. You may use the general jury instructions included in Mauet, pp. 619-626. Use Illinois Pattern Jury Instruction for the issues instructions concerning the state/plaintiff's burden of proof and any available defenses.
3. Pre-Trial Motions will be heard this week. All motions to be heard at that time must be in writing and must have been served upon opposing counsel at least 48 hours prior to hearing. Also please give cites to any significant cases on which you will rely to opposing counsel with sufficient time for them to prepare a response. There is no requirement that the motion be filed with the instructor prior to hearing. Responses to motions may be, but are not required to be in writing. Follow the form for the State Courts of Illinois. Any matters left unresolved at the hearing may be raised, at the discretion of the instructor, during the 30 minute period immediately prior to trial. Written orders summarizing the "Courts" ruling should be prepared immediately following the pre-trial conference for delivery to the final trial judge.

4. You are encouraged to meet with opposing counsel to resolve any pre-trial matters, such as, stipulations, witness backgrounds, jury instructions, etc. It is your responsibility to take the surprise out of the final trial.
5. The instructors reserve the right to review your “game plans” during the pre-trial hearings and to revise them to suit educational needs.

M. FINAL TRIAL

PROFESSIONAL DRESS REQUIRED

1. You are responsible for bringing your own witnesses, preferably non law students and preferably with some background in the appropriate area of expertise. Assume that all witnesses have been subpoenaed.
2. Time is a factor at the trial and may require the Instructor to cut short examinations or arguments if the parties have not been well-disciplined on time usage. Opening Statements are limited to 8 minutes per side. Closing arguments are limited to 12 minutes per side. The primary responsibility for time usage is upon the parties. If necessary students will be restricted or prohibited from conducting redirect and/or recross examinations.
3. Pre-mark all exhibits.
4. Stand while making objections and otherwise addressing the court.
5. Students must allocate trial assignments equally between them. One should give the opening statement; the other closing argument. Each student should do one direct and one cross-examination. The witness backgrounds that you compose must be realistic or you will be penalized, e.g. no priests from Harvard may testify. Feel free to consult with us in advance for advice. We will not, however, tell you what to argue, how to argue it or how to examine.
6. The testimony of any additional witnesses identified in the problem should come in by stipulation. These stipulations should include testimony that would reasonably be solicited both during direct and cross-examinations of that witness. At the discretion of the faculty member, third witnesses may be called to testify rather than testify by stipulation.

7. Don't forget motions for directed verdict in both civil and criminal cases.

Attorneys representing the State: Your case can be directed out if you fail to establish venue: i.e. that the alleged criminal act occurred in the City of Chicago, County of Cook, State of Illinois.

8. Please remember that the Federal Rules of Evidence apply to evidentiary questions. Look to Illinois law on substantive issues of law.
9. Motions to exclude witness will not be granted; however examinations should be prepared as though they were.
10. Critique: trial judges, hopefully with the co-operation of jurors, will critique immediately after trial, time permitting. Instructors will join the critique at the time but you may also schedule with them a separate time to review the trial.

State v. David Wagner

This is a prosecution of the defendant David Wagner for Attempted Armed Robbery, Battery and Aggravated Assault. The defense is identification.

Lance Hightower states that on December 10, (-1), he was at the Blue Note Tavern on 61st and Carpenter in Chicago, where he consumed several beers and one shot over a period of about four (4) hours. At about 1 a.m., he left the bar and went to his car which was parked in the lot behind the bar. As he opened the door to his car, a person, who he later identified as the defendant, pushed him from behind. Hightower turned and observed a second offender who stated "Let's roll this guy Dave, he looks juiced." The defendant grabbed at Hightowers' pockets and stated "give me your money, all of it." He stated he had a knife and threatened to kill Hightower if he didn't give him what he wanted. Hightower yelled "Help" and the defendant struck him in the face. All of a sudden, a car pulled into the lot and someone yelled "Hey! What's going on?" Both offenders then fled.

Hightower states that he went back into the tavern to call the police. He told the bartender that a guy named Dave tried to rob him and the bartender told him that the same guy had caused trouble in the tavern before. When the police came, he gave them the name "Dave" and told them that the guy was wearing blue jeans and a black leather jacket. About fifteen minutes later, the police got a call on their radio that another car had stopped a subject fitting the description about four blocks away. Hightower was brought to that location where he identified the defendant who was seated in the back of the police car. He heard the defendant tell police that they had the wrong guy and that there must be some mistake.

Chicago Police Department records show that Hightower was sentenced to one year court Supervision on a plea of guilty to the charge of Battery on March 15, (-4).

For the Prosecution, conduct a direct/redirect examination of Hightower.
For the defense cross-examine him. Both sides must be prepared to make/respond to appropriate objections.

Sylvia Monahan v. Jewel Food Store, Inc.

This is a slip and fall personal injury case brought by the plaintiff Sylvia Monahan against the defendant Jewel Food Stores, Inc. Mrs. Monahan is seeking damages in the amount of \$10,000 against Jewel Food Store.

Mrs. Monahan states that on February 16, (-1), she and her husband Bob were leaving the Jewel at 31st and Pulaski after buying groceries. Mrs. Monahan was pushing the cart between two cars in the parking lot when she slipped on a patch of ice and fell. She twisted her right ankle and landed on her shoulder. Her head hit the ice. She immediately told her husband that she thought her ankle was broken because it hurt so much. Her husband picked her up, put her in the car and took her to the Emergency Room at Mt. Sinai Hospital. X-rays were taken and the ER doctor (Fowlkes) said that she suffered a mild concussion and a severely sprained ankle. He prescribed bed rest, heat and Tylenol #3 as needed. For the next several days, Mrs. Monahan was able to get around on some old crutches that she had kept from an incident several years earlier in which she sprained her ankle.

On Feb. 21, Mrs. Monahan drove to Jewel to do her weekly shopping. While she was there she talked to the Services Manager on duty (Donna) about the accident. Donna stated, "We've had lots of problems with people falling in the lot." Donna also stated. "The plow comes as soon as it snows but the snowbanks melt and the water in the lot freezes." Donna then gave Mrs. Monahan a claim form, told her to fill it out, and that the claim would be turned over to their insurance company who would handle everything. As she left the store Mrs. Monahan noticed the cartboy spreading salt in the parking lot.

Mrs. Monahan's hospital bills of \$357.89 were paid by her insurance company. She had an estimate for the damage to her car caused by the cart hitting it for \$279.56.

For the plaintiff, conduct a direct/redirect examination of Mrs. Monahan.
For the defense, cross-examine her. Both sides must be prepared to make/respond to appropriate objections.

Cunningham vs. Kelly

On July 3rd (-1) at 6 p.m. 17 year old Johnny O'Connor was sitting on his front steps on the northwest corner of Monroe and Chicago Avenue, talking to his girlfriend on a cell phone. His friend Jimmy was shooting baskets on the driveway. Suddenly, he heard a loud crash and looked to the intersection where he saw an eastbound Blazer driven by the plaintiff Tim Cunningham which had collided with a northbound Lexus driven by the defendant Nancy Kelly. The cars were in the middle of the intersection angling northeast with major damage to the front of the Blazer and the left side of the Lexus. There are stop signs for the north/south Monroe traffic but none for Chicago Avenue traffic.

After the crash Jimmy said to Johnny "Man, did you see that Lexus run the stop sign?" Johnny told his girlfriend "We just saw an accident, I'll call you later". He then dialed 911 and told the dispatcher "Two cars had an accident at Monroe and Chicago". The dispatcher asked "Is anybody injured?" As he approached the corner, Johnny replied "It looks like the lady in the Lexus is hurt. She's just sitting there with blood all over her face".

When they reached the corner, the driver of the Blazer was out of his car yelling at the woman in the Lexus "That was your fault lady, you blew that stop sign". She answered back, "No I didn't. I stopped and when I pulled out you came from nowhere. You weren't looking and you were speeding. It was your fault".

The police and paramedics arrived. Ms. Kelly was given a ticket for failure to yield the right of way and taken to the hospital. On her court date, her lawyer entered a plea of guilty on her behalf and requested Supervision which was granted.

For the plaintiff conduct a direct exam of Johnny O'Connor. For the defense make any preliminary evidentiary motions and objections to his testimony. Is the defendant's plea of guilty admissible in the plaintiff's case in chief? To impeach her testimony? Does it matter if she rather than her lawyer enters her plea?

TRIAL ADVOCACY

SPRING 2008

FINAL TRIAL PREFERENCE SHEET

WEDNESDAY NIGHT

(Please submit one form per team.)

- 1) Your Name _____ (Section ____)
Partners Name _____ (Section ____)
- 2) Civil or Criminal (Please circle your preference.)
- 3) Plaintiff/Prosecutor or Defense (Please circle your preference.)
- 4) Final Trials will be scheduled on the following dates. Please circle any time period when you **CANNOT** be available for the final trial and give a brief explanation below.

April 19. AM. April 19. PM. April 23. PM.
(8:30AM to Noon) (1:00 to 4:30 PM) (5:30-8:30 PM.)

REASON:

WHILE WE ARE REQUESTING YOUR PREFERENCES FOR THE FINAL TRIAL and will try to will try to accommodate your requests to the best of our abilities, we are not promising that that you will receive everything that you have requested.

Students who indicate no preferences or whose form is not in by the deadline date will be randomly assigned to a partner and a case file.

Please give your completed form to Professor Luecke or Maria Ayala DePaul College of 8th floor Lewis no later than **Wednesday, April 2, 2008.**

**TRIAL ADVOCACY
COURT OBSERVATION FORM – SPRING 2008**

STUDENTS NAME: _____ SECTION: _____

DATE: _____ TIME SPENT OBSERVING: _____

LOCATION: Dirksen Bldg. _____ Daley Center _____ Criminal Court Bldg. _____
(check one) (26th & California)

COURTROOM #: _____ JUDGE: _____

NAME OF CASE: _____

FACTS OF CASE:

ISSUES ARGUED:

RESOLUTION, if known:

STRENGTHS OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEY:

WEAKNESSES OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEY:

STRENGTHS OF DEFENSE ATTORNEY:

WEAKNESSES OF DEFENSE ATTORNEY:

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS:

SURVEY OF CHICAGO AREA COURTROOMS STATE COURTS

Daley Center, Washington & Dearborn Streets County Department: Note—the “Jury Room” on the 17th Floor has a list of courtrooms where juries are being sent.

Law Division (Contracts and Torts exceeding \$15,000.00) Daley Center Trial Section- Floors 19 through 26 also room 1503, 1606, and 1610

Chancery Division (Injunctions, specific performance, partition, foreclosure, trusts, accounting, etc). Rooms: 2108, 2201, 2302, 2305, 2308, 2402, 2403, 2407, 2408, 2502, 2508, 2510

Criminal Division

**Criminal Courts Building
2600 S. California Ave.
Clerks Office Phone (773) 869-3140**

**Skokie Civic Center
5600 Old Orchard Road
Skokie, IL 60077
Phone (708) 470-7500**

**Bridgeview Civic Center
Phone (708) 974-6542**

**Markham Civic Center
16501 South Kedzie Parkway
Phone (708) 210-4220**

**Misdemeanor Jury Trials
1340 South Michigan Ave - Rooms 501, 502, (Branch 46)**

Juvenile Division - 1100 S. Hamilton Avenue Roosevelt & Odgen

Municipal Department - Daley Center

- ✓ Tort and Contract jury trials, less than \$15,000.00:
- ✓ Personal Injury: Jury and non-jury, Room 1501

Federal Court Dirksen Building, 219 South Dearborn (www.ilnd.uscourts.gov)

U.S. District Court – generally motions heard in the morning, trials in the afternoon. Check *DLB for judges, courtrooms and times.

Note: The Clerk’s office on the 20th floor posts a list of scheduled cases on the bulletin board